UNIJERPS
Unizik Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies VOL.18 (3);
July-December, 2024 https://unijerps.org
2023 Impact Factor: **6.834;** 2022 ***Impact Factor***: 5.156; ***2021 Impact Factor: 5.641;***
2020 Impact Factor: 5.355. *Indexing and Impact Factor URL: http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=21363*

EMPLOYMENT LAW, ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, AND NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT IN NIGERIA: AN APPRAISAL

Matthew Izuchukwu Anushiem, PhD
Department of Clinical Legal Education,
Faculty of Law, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Awka.
Phone/Email: 08032641757, mi.anushiem@unizik.edu.ng

ABSTRACT

The global diffusion of artificial intelligence (AI) is redefining the nature of work, employment relations, and national development trajectories, especially in developing economies like Nigeria. This paper critically appraises the intersection between employment law, AI innovation, and national development within the Nigerian context. It argues that while AI offers significant opportunities for productivity growth, efficiency enhancement, and service delivery, it simultaneously generates complex legal, ethical, and socio-economic challenges that Nigeria's existing labor laws are ill-equipped to manage. The paper explores how algorithmic decision-making, automation, and digital labor platforms are disrupting traditional employment relations, undermining job security, and creating regulatory blind spots in areas such as data privacy, worker surveillance, and algorithmic discrimination. Drawing on contemporary legal and policy literature, the study situates Nigeria's employment law within the broader dynamics of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and assesses its preparedness to balance technological innovation with labor protection. It emphasizes that an outdated industrial-era labor framework cannot adequately govern AI-mediated work arrangements, which often transcend conventional employer–employee boundaries. The paper therefore advocates a comprehensive policy response anchored on legal modernization, algorithmic accountability, ethical AI deployment, and human capital development. It concludes that the alignment of Nigeria's employment law with global AI governance norms and digital rights principles is critical to transforming AI from a disruptive technological force into a catalyst for inclusive and sustainable national development.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Employment Law, Digital Economy, National Development.

Introduction

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into the modern economy has become a defining feature of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, reshaping the world of work, industrial relations, and national development trajectories. Across advanced and emerging economies, AI systems ranging from machine learning algorithms to robotics and automated decision-making tools are transforming the very structure of employment and production (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017).

In developing countries such as Nigeria, where unemployment, underemployment and informality are persistent structural features of the labour market, AI's labour-market

impacts are potentially acute and uneven. Digital labour platforms and algorithmic management systems are expanding opportunities for flexible earning, but they also fragment employment relationships and often place workers beyond the reach of traditional labour protections raising questions about coverage, bargaining power, and collective representation (e.g., platform drivers, crowdworkers, and digital freelancers). Empirical and qualitative studies of platform work in Nigeria document how algorithmic scheduling, opaque performance metrics, and contractual ambiguity can generate precarity even as they provide income-earning opportunities (Adekoya, 2023)

Beyond employment status, Anushiem and Anushiem (2023) noted that AI introduces legal and ethical problems that existing labour law frameworks were not designed to address. Algorithmic decision-making can reproduce or amplify biases present in training data, leading to discriminatory outcomes in recruitment, promotion, and pay; it may also enable intense remote surveillance of workers, undermining privacy and worker autonomy. Scholarly analyses of algorithmic discrimination and algorithmic injustice highlight both the technical mechanisms that produce bias and the regulatory gaps that enable harmful outcomes when firms deploy opaque AI systems without impact assessment or redress mechanisms (Wang, 2024).

From a national-development perspective, the integration of AI into production and public services has large upside potential for productivity growth, service delivery, and innovation. Yet the developmental dividend is neither automatic nor evenly distributed. The capacity of an economy to capture AI's benefits depends on complementary factors workforce skills, digital infrastructure, regulatory frameworks, and inclusive governance that determine whether technological change substitutes for labour or amplifies human productivity. Cross-country analyses show significant heterogeneity in how AI affects employment outcomes; countries that pair technological adoption with skills upgrading and labour-market institutions are better placed to realise inclusive gains (Georgieff, 2022).

In Nigeria, the growing digitalization of the economy, driven by public and private investments in information technology, fintech, and e-government, has created new opportunities for efficiency and innovation. However, these developments have also exposed critical gaps in Nigeria's employment laws, labor market policies, and institutional capacity to regulate AI's socio-economic impacts.

Nigeria's labour-law architecture, historically oriented toward the regulation of standard, long-term employment relationships, is therefore confronted with a structural test: can laws and institutions be adapted to govern algorithmic employment relations, protect worker rights in non-standard work, and promote equitable access to AI's benefits? Recent scholarship on automation, digital labour and platform governance stresses the need for legal

modernization (including clarity on employment status, data protection in the workplace, transparency obligations for algorithmic decisions, and mechanisms for collective representation) as preconditions for translating AI adoption into sustainable, inclusive national development. Absent such reforms, there is a material risk that AI will deepen existing inequalities, entrench precarious forms of work, and weaken social protection systems rather than accelerate broad-based development (Acemoglu, 2019)

Employment law in Nigeria was designed largely for the industrial age, focusing on traditional employer–employee relationships, collective bargaining, and workplace safety (Okafor, 2022). The emergence of AI technologies automation, algorithmic management, and digital labor platforms has disrupted these assumptions. Workers are increasingly managed or replaced by algorithms, while new categories such as "gig" or "platform workers" fall outside existing labor protections. Consequently, the need to harmonize AI innovation with employment law reform has become urgent for Nigeria's broader national development agenda.

This study therefore situates Nigeria's employment law within the broader dynamics of the Fourth Industrial Revolution, asking how legal reform, policy design, and institutional innovation can reconcile AI-driven technological change with labour protection and national development goals. Building on empirical and theoretical literature from labour economics, information systems, and development studies, the paper examines (1) the ways AI is reconfiguring work and employment relations in Nigeria; (2) the legal, ethical and governance challenges posed by algorithmic management, automation, and platformization; and (3) policy pathways for enabling an inclusive, rights-respecting digital transition that supports Nigeria's development objectives.

Conceptual and Theoretical Framework

Conceptual Framework

i. Employment law

Employment law refers to the set of legal rules and institutions that govern the relationship between workers and employers: hiring, terms and conditions of work, wages, health and safety, discrimination, termination, collective bargaining, and social protection. Traditionally oriented to stable, long-term employment relationships, employment law presumes identifiable employers and employees and relies on doctrinal categories (employee, contractor, agent) that determine rights and obligations.

ii. Artificial intelligence (AI)

AI denotes computational systems that perform tasks conventionally associated with human cognition prediction, classification, pattern recognition, optimization, and decision-making often through statistical machine-learning models trained on large datasets. In workplaces, AI takes visible forms (robots, automated production lines) and less visible forms (recruitment algorithms, performance-monitoring systems, algorithmic scheduling, and recommendation engines).

iii. Algorithmic management and platformization

A major operational form of workplace AI is algorithmic management automated systems that assign tasks, evaluate performance, set pay or penalties, and schedule work without continuous human oversight. When paired with digital platforms, these systems can instantiate novel employment forms (gig work, crowdwork) that sit uneasily within traditional legal categories (Kellogg, Valentine, & Christin, 2020).

Employment law, in its broadest sense, refers to the body of legal norms that govern the relationship between employers and employees, including recruitment, remuneration, working conditions, termination, and workers' rights (Adewumi, 2021). Artificial intelligence, by contrast, encompasses computational systems capable of performing cognitive tasks traditionally associated with human intelligence such as perception, reasoning, and decision-making (Russell & Norvig, 2020).

The interaction between AI and employment law is complex. On one hand, AI enhances workplace productivity, efficiency, and occupational safety. Automated systems reduce human error, optimize logistics, and improve monitoring of compliance with safety standards (Brougham & Haar, 2018). On the other hand, AI disrupts traditional employment relations through automation-induced job displacement, algorithmic bias in recruitment, and opaque decision-making systems that challenge legal accountability (Lee et al., 2019).
For Nigeria, a country grappling with high unemployment and underemployment, the implications are profound. Without adaptive employment laws and regulatory safeguards, AI could deepen existing inequalities rather than bridge them.

Conceptually, the interaction of employment law and AI is not merely a technical challenge but a systemic legal and institutional problem. AI changes the locus of control, visibility, and power in the workplace; it creates novel forms of precarity that standard legal categories do not easily accommodate. Addressing this requires law that is flexible enough to capture new forms of control and rigid enough to guarantee baseline rights a regulatory posture that combines status reform, procedural transparency, outcome-focused anti-discrimination, and institutional mechanisms (audits, collective bargaining, liability allocation) for enforcement.

Theoretical framework
Three theoretical perspectives help map the normative and doctrinal implications:
i. Task-based labour economics
This framework explains how automation substitutes for some tasks and complements others; it clarifies that employment outcomes depend on complementarities between technology and human skills (Autor et al., 2003; Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2019).
ii. Actor-network and sociotechnical perspectives
These approaches situate algorithms within socio-technical networks, showing that algorithmic behaviour is shaped by human choices (data selection, objective functions) and organizational practices, thus making governance both a technical and institutional problem (Kellogg et al., 2020).

iii. Algorithmic fairness and legal theory.
Scholarship in algorithmic governance highlights the limits of traditional anti-discrimination law and argues for outcome-oriented regulation, obligations of transparency, and statutory duties to conduct algorithmic impact assessments (Barocas & Selbst, 2016; Wachter et al., 2017).

Employment Law and the Changing Nature of Work in Nigeria

The structure and purpose of employment law are being redefined globally by technological change, and Nigeria is no exception. Historically, Nigeria's labor law framework is primarily governed by the Labour Act of 2004, supplemented by various industrial relations statutes. The Employees' Compensation Act (2010), and related statutory and common-law doctrines assumed a stable, long-term, contract-based relationship between an identifiable employer and an identifiable employee. Those assumptions determined coverage, social protections, dispute-resolution pathways, and minimum standards (wages, hours, health and safety) (Onu, 2024). But the rapid platformization of work, the growth of digital freelancing, and the increasing use of algorithmic systems for recruitment, supervision and discipline have exposed conceptual and practical gaps in that architecture. However, these laws were crafted for a manual, factory-based economy and do not adequately address contemporary forms of digital or algorithmic employment (Adewumi, 2021). For instance, gig economy workers such as ride-hailing drivers, online freelancers, or data labelers often lack statutory protections like collective bargaining rights, minimum wage guarantees, or workplace injury compensation.

With AI tools increasingly used in hiring, productivity monitoring, and decision-making, Nigerian workers face new vulnerabilities. Algorithmic bias, arising from skewed data or opaque models, can reinforce discrimination in recruitment and promotion processes (Ajayi & Onifade, 2022). Furthermore, AI-driven performance monitoring can infringe on workers' privacy, contradicting provisions of the Nigeria Data Protection Act (2023), which guarantees data minimization and consent-based processing.

The inadequacy of Nigeria's employment law to regulate these dynamics risks institutionalizing a form of "digital precarity," where workers remain vulnerable to exploitation by algorithmic systems that operate beyond human oversight.

1. From standard employment to fragmented, algorithmically mediated work.
Two interlocking trends are reshaping Nigeria's labour market. First, digital platforms (ride-hailing, delivery, digital freelancing marketplaces) create new, flexible income opportunities but often place workers outside regulated employment relations. Second, firms increasingly use automated decision systems for screening applicants, assigning tasks, rating performance, or determining access to work producing "algorithmic management" that exercises control without the visible presence of a human supervisor (Kellogg, Valentine, & Christin, 2020). In the Nigerian context,

studies and fieldwork show that drivers, delivery riders, and digital freelancers experience subordination to platform rules, opaque performance metrics, real-time scoring and automated sanctions despite being classified as independent contractors a misalignment that undermines traditional protections (Kellogg, et.al. (2020).

2. Legal coverage and the status problem.
A central doctrinal issue is employment status. Nigerian law's binary employee/independent-contractor tests poorly capture platform work where control is algorithmic, dependency is economic, and work allocation is unilateral and automated. Scholarly work on Nigerian ride-hailing and platform sectors documents how formal classifications as "contractors" exclude workers from minimum wages, social benefits, and statutory remedies while platforms retain managerial prerogatives via software. This misclassification creates a legal lacuna: workers are deprived of labour law's core protections even when the factual relationship replicates many features of employment. Proposals from Nigerian scholars include creating intermediary status categories (e.g., "dependent contractor" or "independent employee") or reforming the control-based tests to account for algorithmic direction (Enwukwe, 2021)

3. Surveillance, privacy and data governance at work.
AI-enabled monitoring (GPS tracking, telematics, keystroke logging, customer ratings) shifts the measurements and modalities of control. Such systems collect continuous, granular worker data that can be used to rank, penalize, or de-platform workers in opaque ways. In Nigeria, qualitative studies show drivers adapt to, resist, or game algorithms while suffering stress, reduced autonomy, and unpredictable earnings. These realities raise privacy and procedural-fairness questions that Nigeria's labour law and workplace data governance frameworks are only beginning to face. Scholars argue for workplace-specific data protections, limitations on continuous monitoring, and statutory duties to notify workers about automated decision processes (Arubayi, 2021).

4. Algorithmic bias, discrimination and procedural opacity.
Automated hiring and evaluation tools trained on historical data can reproduce social biases (gender, ethnicity, geography, education). Where legal regimes emphasize intent over outcome, detecting and remedying disparate impact from opaque models is difficult. Nigerian researchers and comparative scholars recommend strengthening outcome-oriented anti-discrimination rules, mandating algorithmic impact assessments for HR tools, and requiring explainability or at least meaningful disclosure so affected workers can seek redress. The practical enforcement challenge in Nigeria under-resourced labour inspectorates, limited technical capacity, and fragmented dispute resolution amplifies the risk that algorithmic harms will remain hidden or unremedied (Kellogg, et.al. (2020).

5. Collective representation, voice and bargaining under algorithmic control.

Traditional collective bargaining assumes negotiable working conditions and identifiable decision-makers; algorithmic management complicates both. Platforms frequently treat terms as unilateral, proprietary and dynamic (frequent algorithm updates, opaque rating rules). Nigerian platform workers' organizing efforts face legal and logistical obstacles, yet case studies show nascent forms of collective action and "algo-activism" (collective resistance to algorithmic control). Scholars urge legal recognition that algorithmic systems affecting work conditions are legitimate subjects of consultation and bargaining, and recommend statutory rights to prior notice, impact assessment and negotiation before major algorithmic rollouts (Kellogg, et.al. (2020).

6. Enforcement, adjudication and institutional capacity

Even where legal principles could be extended to platform and algorithmic work, enforcement remains a major hurdle. Labour courts, tribunals, and inspectorates in Nigeria are often under-resourced and ill-equipped to audit complex software systems or to adjudicate disputes that hinge on machine learning models and proprietary algorithms. This capability gap suggests the need for multi-disciplinary institutional responses: technical capacity building within labour ministries and tribunals, shared forensic capabilities (algorithmic audits), and partnerships with academia and civil society to monitor impacts and support litigants (Adeleke, 2024).

7. Policy and legislative pathways (summary)

The changing nature of work demands multi-pronged legal reform and policy innovation. Key pathways suggested in the literature and in Nigeria-focused studies include: (a) revising status tests to capture economic dependency and algorithmic control; (b) mandating algorithmic impact assessments and limited disclosure for employment-related AI systems; (c) tailoring data-protection rules to workplace contexts; (d) recognizing algorithmic management as a matter for collective bargaining; and (e) investing in adjudicatory and technical capacities for enforcement and audit. These measures aim to preserve core labour protections while allowing productive digital innovation (Adekoya, 2023)

Artificial Intelligence and National Development

Artificial intelligence holds immense potential for Nigeria's national development goals, particularly in sectors such as agriculture, health, education, public administration, and manufacturing. According to PwC (2018), AI could contribute up to $15.7 trillion to the global economy by 2030, with emerging markets capturing a significant portion if they invest strategically.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as one of the most transformative technologies of the 21st century, reshaping economic production, governance, security, education, and social relations worldwide. Its growing relevance in national development stems from its potential to enhance productivity, stimulate innovation, improve service

delivery, and address structural inefficiencies in both public and private sectors (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017). For developing economies like Nigeria, where issues of unemployment, infrastructural gaps, corruption, and low industrial competitiveness persist, AI offers significant opportunities to accelerate inclusive growth and national transformation if properly integrated into policy and governance systems (Ogbuabor & Anigbogu, 2023). For Nigeria, AI can enhance productivity in the oil and gas sector, improve healthcare diagnostics, and expand financial inclusion through predictive analytics in fintech.

However, national development is not solely about technological advancement it depends on inclusive growth, job creation, and social stability (UNDP, 2022). The challenge for Nigeria, therefore, is to ensure that AI-driven productivity gains do not come at the expense of labor rights or equitable wealth distribution. When poorly managed, technological innovation can widen the income gap between skilled and unskilled workers, marginalize women and youth, and create regional disparities between urban tech hubs and rural communities. To realize AI's developmental potential, Nigeria must adopt policies that combine technological innovation with human capital development, legal modernization, and institutional accountability.

The Developmental Promise of AI in Emerging Economies

The application of AI to national development transcends simple technological advancement it represents a strategic lever for socio-economic modernization. In advanced economies, AI systems already drive industrial automation, predictive analytics, and decision optimization across health, agriculture, and finance sectors (Acemoglu & Restrepo, 2021). Similar potentials exist in Nigeria, where AI could enhance agricultural yield prediction, automate health diagnostics, optimize transportation logistics, and support anti-corruption monitoring in governance. Empirical studies show that AI adoption in Africa could raise productivity by 1.5 to 2 percent annually and contribute up to $1.2 trillion to the continent's economy by 2030 (McKinsey Global Institute, 2018).

For Nigeria, a country with over 200 million people and an economy heavily dependent on oil revenues, the strategic integration of AI into non-oil sectors especially manufacturing, education, and healthcare could help diversify the economy, reduce unemployment, and promote sustainable development (Okolie & Osuagwu, 2023). The National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) has recognized AI as a core pillar of Nigeria's digital economy policy, launching the National Artificial Intelligence Strategy (NAIS) in 2023 to harness AI for innovation, job creation, and economic competitiveness (Adebayo & Dogo, 2024).

AI, Governance, and Public Sector Efficiency

AI's potential for national development is not limited to the private sector. In governance, AI-powered analytics can detect fraud, monitor public spending, and streamline service delivery. In Nigeria, bureaucratic

inefficiency and corruption remain significant barriers to development; however, machine learning algorithms can improve transparency by tracking anomalies in procurement and budget implementation. Adebayo and Dogo (2024) observed that integrating AI into e-governance frameworks could strengthen accountability mechanisms and improve citizen trust. Similarly, predictive models can aid in public health surveillance such as anticipating disease outbreaks thereby improving Nigeria's readiness and response capacity (Chukwu & Mba, 2023).

In the justice system, AI-based tools can support legal research, case management, and access to justice for citizens. Adeleke (2024) argues that AI can reduce administrative delays and improve judicial efficiency, but must be guided by ethical and data protection standards to avoid algorithmic bias.

AI, Employment, and Human Capital Development

While AI creates pathways for national development, it also introduces new challenges for the labor market. Automation and intelligent systems can displace low- and mid-skill jobs, especially in manufacturing and routine service sectors. Acemoglu and Restrepo (2021) warned that without deliberate upskilling programs, technological displacement could deepen inequality in developing economies. In Nigeria, this risk is significant given the dominance of informal employment and low digital literacy rates.

Therefore, the developmental promise of AI depends on strategic human capital investments. Education systems must shift toward STEM disciplines, digital skills, and computational thinking. A recent study by Okolie and Osuagwu (2023) revealed that nations that invest in AI research, skills development, and innovation ecosystems experience faster productivity growth and stronger resilience to global economic shocks. Nigeria's tertiary institutions, research centers, and private tech hubs must thus become active partners in AI capacity building and innovation.

Ethical, Regulatory, and Institutional Dimensions

Harnessing AI for development requires an enabling governance framework that balances innovation with social protection. Ethical AI principles such as fairness, accountability, transparency, and data privacy are essential to ensuring that AI deployment promotes equity and human welfare rather than reinforcing inequality or exclusion (Jobin, Ienca, & Vayena, 2019). Nigeria's Data Protection Act of 2023 and the draft National AI Strategy represent steps toward institutionalizing responsible AI governance, but effective implementation will require technical expertise, stakeholder collaboration, and strong political will (Ogbuabor & Anigbogu, 2023).

Equally, institutional readiness remains a key determinant of success. Public institutions must develop internal capacity to evaluate, procure, and audit AI systems responsibly. Partnerships between government, academia, and industry can also facilitate localized AI solutions tailored to Nigeria's socio-economic realities such as natural language

processing for indigenous languages or predictive analytics for agricultural climate resilience (Chukwu & Mba, 2023).

AI as a Catalyst for Sustainable Development

Ultimately, artificial intelligence has become a cornerstone of the 21st-century developmental paradigm. When strategically embedded within national development planning, AI can help Nigeria achieve key Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) notably those related to quality education, decent work, industrial innovation, and strong institutions. By enhancing productivity, optimizing public spending, and improving policy precision, AI can support inclusive growth and poverty reduction (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017). However, realizing these benefits depends on coherent national strategies that address infrastructure gaps, data availability, and the ethical governance of intelligent systems.

Artificial intelligence presents both a transformative opportunity and a governance challenge for Nigeria's development trajectory. With deliberate policy design, institutional reform, and human capital investment, AI can serve as a cornerstone for Nigeria's transition into a knowledge-driven and innovation-led economy.

Legal and Ethical Dimensions of AI-Driven Work

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into the modern workplace has initiated profound transformations in how work is organized, monitored, and managed. Algorithms now screen job applicants, allocate tasks, measure performance, and even make termination decisions often with minimal human oversight. While these applications promise efficiency and productivity gains, they also raise complex legal and ethical challenges that question long-standing notions of accountability, fairness, privacy, and workers' rights (Kellogg, Valentine, & Christin, 2020; Mateescu & Elish, 2019). For developing economies like Nigeria, where labour regulation and technological governance remain underdeveloped, the legal and ethical implications of AI-driven work are particularly acute and necessitate comprehensive policy and institutional responses.

The ethical dimensions of AI deployment in the workplace raise questions about fairness, transparency, and accountability. Nigerian employment law currently lacks explicit provisions for addressing AI-related harms such as algorithmic discrimination, data misuse, or non-consensual monitoring. The National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) has issued data protection guidelines, but there is no comprehensive AI Ethics Framework akin to the European Union's AI Act or the OECD's AI Principles (OECD, 2021).

Without legal clarity, employers may use AI tools to make employment decisions that are opaque or discriminatory, violating constitutional rights to equality and fair treatment under Sections 17 and 42 of the Nigerian Constitution (1999, as amended). Similarly, AI systems that monitor employees' productivity can intrude into

private communications and violate rights under the Nigeria Data Protection Act (2023).

A balanced legal approach should therefore mandate algorithmic transparency, impact assessments, and worker consultation before deploying AI systems in workplaces. This aligns with international labor standards set by the International Labour Organization (ILO, 2020), which emphasize the need for "human-centered" digital transitions.

Policy Implications and the Role of Key Stakeholders

1. Government: The Nigerian government must develop a National Artificial Intelligence Strategy that integrates employment law reform, digital infrastructure investment, and workforce reskilling. Such a strategy should establish clear legal guidelines for AI deployment, drawing on best practices from the EU, Singapore, and South Africa. Furthermore, regulatory bodies such as the Federal Ministry of Labour and Employment and NITDA should collaborate to design frameworks that ensure algorithmic accountability and data protection in the workplace.

2. Academia: Universities and research institutions have a pivotal role in shaping Nigeria's AI future through curriculum reform, policy research, and public education. They should integrate AI ethics, labor law, and data governance into legal and social science programs, fostering a new generation of scholars and policymakers equipped to manage AI's legal complexities (Oni, 2023).

3. Private Sector and Employers: Businesses must adopt responsible AI principles that prioritize worker welfare and transparency. Ethical AI auditing, fair recruitment practices, and continuous employee training are essential to ensure that automation complements rather than replaces human labor. Firms should also engage in tripartite dialogues with labor unions and regulators to balance innovation with protection.

4. Civil Society and Policy Think Tanks: Civil society organizations can serve as watchdogs, ensuring accountability in AI deployment. They should advocate for inclusive policies that prevent technological marginalization and promote equitable access to AI-driven opportunities across gender, geography, and class.

Conclusion

The rise of AI-driven work marks a turning point in the evolution of employment relations. While AI promises to revolutionize productivity and innovation, its unregulated deployment can also entrench inequality, undermine privacy, and erode human dignity. Nigeria, like many emerging economies, faces the dual task of fostering innovation while safeguarding workers' rights. Developing a coherent legal and ethical framework for AI-driven work anchored on fairness, transparency, accountability, and respect for human autonomy is essential for aligning technological progress with national development goals.

The relationship between employment law, artificial intelligence, and national development in Nigeria is both transformative and precarious. While AI presents vast potential for economic diversification, innovation, and governance reform, its benefits will not be automatic. The absence of a forward-looking legal and institutional framework risks producing a digital economy characterized by inequality, surveillance, and precarity. Nigeria's employment law must evolve beyond its industrial-era origins to address the realities of algorithmic work, data governance, and technological ethics.

Ultimately, the path to national development in the age of AI lies not merely in adopting technology but in embedding justice, transparency, and inclusivity into its regulation. If managed wisely, AI can become a tool for human empowerment and national progress rather than a catalyst for social exclusion.

REFERENCES

Adebayo, A., & Dogo, E. M. (2024). Artificial intelligence strategy and digital governance in Nigeria: Opportunities and institutional challenges. *African Journal of Science, Technology, Innovation and Development*, *16*(2), 215–230.

Adekoya, O., Mordi, C., Ajonbadi, H., & Weifeng, C. (2023). Implications of algorithmic management on careers and employment relationships in the gig economy – a developing country perspective. *Information Technology and People.* Retrieved from https://shura.shu.ac.uk/32584

Adeleke, F. (2024). Algorithms and administrative justice in Africa: A case study from Nigeria. *AI & Society*, *39*(3), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41301-024-00407-5

Adeleke, F., & Razzano, G. (2024). Algorithms and administrative justice in Africa: A case study from Nigeria. *Development*, *67*, 14–21. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41301-024-00407-5

Adewumi, F. (2021). Labour law reform and digital work in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. *African Journal of Legal Studies*, *14*(2), 89–104.

Ajonbadi, H. A. (2025). Exploring the voice and representation mechanisms of platform workers in Nigeria: For decent work in the Nigerian gig economy. *Journal of Industrial Relations.* https://doi.org/10.1177/00221856251337191

Ajayi, O., & Onifade, A. (2022). Artificial intelligence and workplace fairness: Legal perspectives in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Labour Law and Policy Studies*, *3*(1), 44–62.

Anushiem, M.I. & Anushiem, U.M.J. (2023). Leave to Sue a Company in Liquidation Under section 580 of Companies and Allied Matters Act 2020: Imperative for Legislative Intervention. *Frontline Bar Journal*

(FBJ); 1(2), available in google scholar at http:// www. nigerianjournalsonline.com.

Arubayi, D. (2021). Documenting everyday hidden resistance of ride-hailing drivers in Lagos. *South Atlantic Quarterly, 120*(4), 823–838. https://doi.org/10.1215/00382876-9443378

Autor, D. H., Levy, F., & Murnane, R. J. (2003). The skill content of recent technological change: An empirical exploration. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, *118*(4), 1279–1333.

Ball, K. (2021). Workplace surveillance: An overview. *Labor Studies Journal, 46*(1), 6–23.

Barocas, S., & Selbst, A. D. (2016). Big data's disparate impact. *California Law Review*, *104*(3), 671–732.

Bodie, M. T., Cherry, M. A., McCormick, M. L., & Tang, J. (2020). The law and policy of people analytics. *University of Colorado Law Review*, *91*(6), 161–224.

Brougham, D., & Haar, J. (2018). Smart technology, artificial intelligence, robotics, and algorithms (STARA): Employees' perceptions of our future workplace. *Journal of Management & Organization*, *24*(2), 239–257.

Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future.* W. W. Norton & Company.

Cherry, M. A. (2019). A taxonomy of platform work: Lessons from Uber and beyond. *Comparative Labor Law & Policy Journal, 41*(1), 55–90.

Chukwu, L., & Mba, A. (2023). Artificial intelligence for sustainable development in Africa: Prospects, policies, and pitfalls. *Technology in Society*, *75*(4), 102199.

Enwukwe, N. E. (2021). The employment status of Nigerian workers in the gig economy: Using Uber as a case study. *Journal of Law, Policy and Globalization, 107.*

European Parliament. (2024). *Artificial Intelligence Act.* Official Journal of the European Union.

Georgieff, A., et al. (2022). Artificial intelligence and employment: New cross-country evidence. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 5*, 832736. https://doi.org/10.3389/frai.2022.832736

Ghasemaghaei, M. (2024). Understanding how algorithmic injustice leads to unfair outcomes in organizations. *Information and Management, 61*(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2024.103921

Graham, M., Hjorth, I., & Lehdonvirta, V. (2017). Digital labour and development: Impacts of global digital labour platforms on worker prospects. *Transfer: European Review of Labour and Research, 23*(2), 135–162. https://doi.org/10.1177/1024258916687250

International Labour Organization (ILO). (2020). *Human-centred approach to the future of work.* Geneva: ILO Publications.

Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics

guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence, 1*(9), 389–399.

Kellogg, K. C., Valentine, M. A., & Christin, A. (2020). Algorithms at work: The new contested terrain of control. *Academy of Management Annals, 14*(1), 366–410. https://doi.org/10.5465/annals.2018.0174

Lee, K. F., Chen, M., & Li, Y. (2019). AI superpowers and the global race for digital labor. *Technology and Society Review, 11*(1), 34–56.

Mateescu, A., & Elish, M. C. (2019). *AI in context: The labor of integrating new technologies.* Data & Society Research Institute Working Paper.

McKinsey Global Institute. (2018). *Notes from the AI frontier: Modeling the impact of AI on the world economy.* McKinsey & Company.

Mehrabi, N., Morstatter, F., Saxena, N., Lerman, K., & Galstyan, A. (2021). A survey on bias and fairness in machine learning. *ACM Computing Surveys, 54*(6), 1–35.

OECD. (2021). *OECD principles on artificial intelligence.* OECD Publishing.

Ogbuabor, J. E., & Anigbogu, T. U. (2023). Artificial intelligence and digital transformation in Nigeria: Implications for inclusive development. *African Journal of Economic Policy, 30*(1), 55–74.

Okafor, E. (2022). The evolving landscape of employment relations in Nigeria. *African Review of Political Economy, 49*(173), 121–137.

Okolie, U. C., & Osuagwu, G. O. (2023). Artificial intelligence and the future of work in sub-Saharan Africa: Policy implications for Nigeria. *Journal of Development Studies, 59*(6), 1032–1050.

Oni, S. (2023). Education and AI ethics in Africa: The legal and policy imperatives. *African Journal of Public Policy and Governance, 9*(2), 15–29.

Onu, N. (2024). An analysis of workers' protection in the Labour Act 2004. *African Journal of International Energy & Environmental Law, 10.*

PwC. (2018). *The macroeconomic impact of artificial intelligence.* PricewaterhouseCoopers Global Report.

Raghavan, M., Barocas, S., Kleinberg, J., & Levy, K. (2020). Mitigating bias in algorithmic hiring: Evaluating claims and practices. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency,* 469–481.

UNDP. (2022). *Human development report 2022: Uncertain times, unsettled lives.* United Nations Development Programme.

Wachter, S., Mittelstadt, B., & Floridi, L. (2017). Why a right to explanation of automated decision-making does not exist in the GDPR. *International Data Privacy Law, 7*(2), 76–99.

Wang, X., et al. (2024). Algorithmic discrimination: Examining its types and remedies. *Frontiers in Artificial Intelligence, 7.*