UNIJERPS
Unizik Journal of Educational Research and Policy Studies VOL.17 (3);
January-June, 2024 https://unijerps.org
2023 Impact Factor: **6.834;** 2022 ***Impact Factor***: 5.156; ***2021 Impact Factor: 5.641;***
2020 Impact Factor: 5.355. *Indexing and Impact Factor URL:* http://sjifactor.com/passport.php?id=21363

ETHICAL IMPLICATIONS AND POLICY FRAMEWORKS FOR AI-DRIVEN SOLUTIONS TO COMBAT MISINFORMATION IN DIGITAL MEDIA

Toyin Segun Onayinka
Department of Mass Communication, Federal University Oye-Ekiti
Jacob Kehinde Opele
Department of Library & Information Science, Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Nigeria
Lawrence Bunmi Adewole
Department of Computer Science, Federal University Oye-Ekiti, Nigeria
Chioma Ifeoma Agbasimelo
Department of Mass Communication, Nnamdi Azikiwe University Awka, Anambra State

ABSTRACT

The pervasive spread of misinformation within Nigeria's digital media landscape has prompted critical discourse on the deployment of artificial intelligence (AI) as a solution to combat this phenomenon. This study employs a qualitative methodology, integrating a comprehensive literature review and expert consultations to explore the ethical implications and policy frameworks surrounding AI-driven solutions for misinformation control. Through thematic analysis, the research identifies key challenges, including algorithmic bias, privacy concerns, and the "black box" nature of AI decision-making. Furthermore, findings reveal significant gaps in Nigeria's regulatory frameworks, compounded by socio-cultural complexities such as linguistic diversity and regional disparities. The study underscores the importance of developing culturally adaptive, transparent, and inclusive AI systems, while advocating for robust policy reforms and multi-stakeholder collaboration. These insights contribute to shaping ethical and effective AI governance tailored to Nigeria's socio-political realities.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Misinformation, Ethical Implications, Policy Frameworks, Nigeria

Introduction

The digital age has revolutionized communication, creating avenues for information to be disseminated at unprecedented speeds. This transformation has been particularly impactful in Nigeria, where digital media and social platforms have become central to information exchange and public discourse. Social media platforms like Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, and WhatsApp, alongside online news outlets, have emerged as critical sources of information for millions (Carr & Hayes, 2015; Onayinka et al., 2022). However, the ease of access to these platforms has also facilitated the unchecked spread of misinformation—defined as inaccurate or misleading information shared without malice or intent to deceive (Wardle & Derakhshan, 2017). In the Nigerian context, misinformation often intertwines with the nation's complex socio-cultural, political, and religious fabric, amplifying its potential for harm.

The challenges posed by misinformation in Nigeria are multifaceted. On one hand, it disrupts social cohesion by exploiting pre-existing ethnic and religious tensions (Hassan, 2023). On the other, it undermines public trust in institutions, particularly during critical events such as elections(Allcott & Gentzkow, 2017), public health crises(Inobemhe et al., 2021), and security operations. For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, the rapid circulation of false claims about vaccines and treatments led to significant public confusion and resistance to health guidelines (Onayinka et al., 2022). Similarly, misinformation during Nigeria's 2019 general elections played a role in shaping public perception of candidates and electoral processes, highlighting the influence of digital platforms in political discourse.

In response to these challenges, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a promising tool for combating misinformation. AI systems, powered by advanced machine learning algorithms, can analyze vast amounts of digital content, detect patterns, and flag potentially false or misleading information. Examples include tools like Google's Fact Check Explorer and AI-powered platforms such as Logically, which aim to counter misinformation on a global scale. In Nigeria, local initiatives like Dubawa, a fact-checking platform, have started integrating AI to enhance the detection and debunking of falsehoods. While these technological advancements are encouraging, they are not without challenges (Porter & Wood, 2021).

Problem Statement

The pervasive spread of misinformation in Nigeria's digital media landscape presents a significant challenge to societal trust, public decision-making, and the effective functioning of democratic and governance processes. The rapid proliferation of unverified information through social media and digital platforms exacerbates pre-existing socio-cultural tensions, fuels political polarization, and undermines the credibility of both traditional and digital media. This phenomenon is particularly alarming in critical scenarios such as elections, public health crises, and national security events, where misinformation can lead to widespread confusion, panic, or divisive outcomes. Artificial intelligence (AI) offers a promising avenue to combat misinformation by automating the detection and flagging of false or misleading content. However, the deployment of AI-driven solutions raises several ethical and operational challenges. Algorithmic biases in AI systems can perpetuate existing inequalities or amplify cultural misunderstandings, especially in a diverse nation like Nigeria, where linguistic and cultural contexts vary widely. Furthermore, the opaque nature of AI decision-making—commonly known as the "black box" issue—creates transparency and accountability concerns, undermining public trust in these technologies.

Compounding these challenges is Nigeria's underdeveloped regulatory framework for AI and digital technologies. While efforts such as the Nigerian Data Protection Regulation (NDPR) provide some guidelines on data usage, they fail to address the broader ethical implications and societal impact of AI systems. This regulatory gap leaves room for potential misuse of AI, such as infringements on privacy, suppression of dissent, or politicized application of misinformation

control tools. Given this context, there is an urgent need to explore and address the ethical implications of AI-driven solutions for combating misinformation in Nigeria. Additionally, the absence of robust, inclusive policy frameworks tailored to Nigeria's socio-political and cultural realities exacerbates the risks associated with the use of AI in digital media governance. Addressing these issues is critical to ensuring that AI technologies are deployed responsibly, equitably, and effectively to foster a more reliable and transparent information ecosystem.

Research Objectives

1. Examine the ethical implications of AI-driven solutions for combating misinformation in Nigeria's digital media landscape, with a particular focus on concerns such as algorithmic bias, transparency, privacy, and fairness.
2. Evaluate the current policy and regulatory frameworks in Nigeria regarding the use of AI in digital media and identify gaps or areas for improvement to ensure ethical deployment of AI-driven misinformation detection technologies.
3. Assess the socio-cultural considerations in the deployment of AI technologies in Nigeria, including how cultural, linguistic, and regional factors influence the acceptance and effectiveness of AI solutions to combat misinformation.

Research Questions

Based on the research objectives, the following research questions will guide the study:

1. What are the ethical concerns associated with the use of AI in detecting and combating misinformation in Nigeria's digital media, particularly in terms of algorithmic bias, privacy, and transparency?
2. What are the gaps in Nigeria's current regulatory and policy frameworks related to AI and misinformation, and how can these frameworks be strengthened to ensure ethical and effective use of AI technologies?
3. How do socio-cultural factors, such as language, regional differences, and cultural sensitivities, impact the implementation and acceptance of AI-driven misinformation solutions in Nigeria?

Conceptual Framework

The Ethical Landscape of AI in Misinformation Control

Deploying AI to combat misinformation raises profound ethical concerns. First, there is the issue of algorithmic bias. AI systems are trained on datasets that often reflect societal biases, potentially leading to discriminatory outcomes. For instance, AI might disproportionately flag content from minority groups as false due to inherent biases in the training data (Binns, 2018). In a diverse society like Nigeria, where ethnic and cultural nuances play a significant role in communication, such biases could exacerbate tensions rather than mitigate them.

Second, the opaque nature of AI decision-making—often referred to as the "black box" problem—poses challenges to transparency and accountability. Users are often unaware of how AI systems arrive at their conclusions, making it difficult to contest or understand decisions. In the context of misinformation, this lack of transparency could undermine public trust in AI systems, particularly if their decisions align with perceived biases or

political interests(Bontridder & Poullet, 2021).

Privacy concerns also loom large. AI systems designed to monitor and analyze digital content often require access to vast amounts of user data. In Nigeria, where data protection laws are still evolving, the risk of data misuse or unauthorized surveillance is significant. The ethical dilemma lies in balancing the need for effective misinformation control with the protection of individual privacy rights (Bontridder & Poullet, 2021).

The Policy Context in Nigeria

Nigeria's regulatory environment for digital media and AI technologies remains nascent. While the Nigerian Communications Commission (NCC) and the National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) have made strides in regulating digital platforms, comprehensive policies governing AI use are lacking. Existing frameworks, such as the Data Protection Regulation (NDPR), primarily address data privacy but do not adequately cover the ethical and operational dimensions of AI deployment (Salami & Nwankwo, 2024).

The absence of robust policies creates a vacuum that could lead to misuse or unethical deployment of AI technologies. For example, without clear guidelines, there is a risk that AI systems could be weaponized for political purposes, such as suppressing dissenting voices or manipulating public opinion. This concern is particularly relevant in Nigeria, where political actors have historically leveraged media to influence narratives.

Policy frameworks in Nigeria must also consider the unique socio-cultural context of the country. With over 250 ethnic groups and multiple languages, effective AI systems must account for linguistic diversity and cultural nuances. Moreover, the digital divide in Nigeria means that while urban populations may benefit from AI-driven misinformation control, rural communities with limited digital access may be left behind. Bridging this gap is essential for equitable policy implementation.

The Role of Cultural and Socio-Political Factors

Cultural and socio-political factors play a critical role in shaping the spread and impact of misinformation in Nigeria. Cultural beliefs and practices often influence how information is received and interpreted. For instance, deeply ingrained beliefs in traditional medicine have contributed to the spread of misinformation about alternative cures during health crises. Similarly, socio-political dynamics, such as ethnic rivalries and partisan politics, can amplify the spread of misinformation to serve specific agendas (Omoera & Uwalaka, 2023: Ugwu et al., 2022).

AI-driven solutions must navigate these complexities to be effective. For example, language models must be trained to recognize the nuances of Nigerian Pidgin and indigenous languages, which are often used in misinformation campaigns. Additionally, AI systems must be designed to identify and address the socio-political motivations behind misinformation, rather than merely focusing on the content itself.

Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework for this study is rooted in interdisciplinary perspectives that combine technology ethics, media studies, and social psychology to explore the deployment of AI-driven solutions for combating misinformation in Nigeria's digital media landscape. The following theories provide the foundation for understanding and addressing the ethical, social, and operational dynamics of AI technologies in this context:

Cultivation Theory

George Gerbner's Cultivation Theory (1976) arose from concerns about television's impact on societal perceptions and behaviours (Nevzat, 2018). The theory posits that consistent exposure to specific types of media content can shape individuals' worldview, aligning it with the reality portrayed in the media. In the digital age, this theory is increasingly relevant as misinformation, amplified through social media, creates distorted perceptions and polarizes public opinion. It highlights the urgency of addressing the long-term effects of pervasive false narratives. Critics argue that Cultivation Theory oversimplifies media effects, neglecting individual differences in media interpretation. Others contend that the theory lacks predictive power and fails to account for competing media influences (Potter, 2022: Nwani et al., 202). This theory is crucial in understanding how sustained misinformation exposure fosters distrust in institutions and societal fragmentation. AI-driven tools can counter these effects by promoting accurate narratives and encouraging critical media consumption, thus mitigating the adverse impacts predicted by the theory.

Social Identity Theory

Another relevant theory contributing to the understanding of the application of AI in combating misinformation is Social Identity Theory. Henri Tajfel and John Turner (1979) introduced Social Identity Theory to explain how group membership influences behaviour and intergroup dynamics. It has gained relevance in analysing how misinformation exploits social divisions, often targeting ethnic, religious, and political identities to deepen societal rifts (Perry et al., 2022). The theory has been criticized for emphasizing intergroup conflict while downplaying intragroup complexities and the broader socio-economic factors driving division. Some argue it oversimplifies identity formation by focusing predominantly on group affiliations (Makalesi & Demirden, 2021).

Social Identity Theory provides a pathway for the understanding of how identity-based misinformation exacerbates polarization. AI systems leveraging this theory can identify and mitigate divisive content by recognizing cultural and linguistic nuances. By fostering social cohesion through tailored interventions, the theory supports the development of ethically and contextually sensitive AI solutions (Huddy, 2001; Ugwu et al., 2021).

Research Method

This research employs a qualitative research methodology with a focus on a literature review and in-depth qualitative analysis to explore the ethical implications and policy frameworks surrounding AI-driven solutions for combating misinformation in digital media within the Nigerian context. Given the

increasing prominence of digital media platforms in the dissemination of misinformation, particularly in Nigeria, this study assesses both the theoretical foundations and practical challenges associated with the deployment of AI-based solutions. This methodology emphasises examination of existing literature, expert insights, and cultural considerations to develop recommendations for ethical AI use in digital media governance.

Population: The population for this study includes experts and stakeholders involved in combating misinformation in Nigeria's digital media landscape. This includes AI developers, policymakers, fact-checking organizations, ethicists, and digital media users. This broad population ensures a comprehensive understanding of the ethical and policy implications of AI-driven solutions in mitigating misinformation.

Sampling Procedure: A purposive sampling technique was employed to select participants for this study. Purposive sampling is particularly appropriate for qualitative research as it focuses on selecting individuals with specific expertise, experience, or perspectives that align with the research objectives. This method ensures that the participants can provide rich, relevant, and detailed insights into the ethical, technical, and cultural dimensions of AI deployment in Nigeria.

Sample: AI Developers and Researchers sample Size: 6 participants limited to professionals engaged in designing or implementing AI technologies, particularly those focusing on misinformation detection.

Policymakers and Regulatory Experts sample Size: 5 participants limited to policymakers with experience in digital technology governance, particularly those familiar with Nigeria's regulatory frameworks, such as the Nigerian Data Protection Regulation (NDPR).

Fact-Checkers and Media Practitioners sample Size: 5 participants limited to representatives from fact-checking platforms like Dubawa or digital media organizations actively involved in misinformation management.

Ethicists and Social Scientists sample Size: 5 participants limited to scholars or practitioners with expertise in AI ethics, social impact assessments, or cultural studies.

Digital Media Users sample Size: 8 participants, stratified by demographic factors such as age, region, and educational background, The study concentrated on active users of social media or digital platforms, who have encountered misinformation and are aware of AI-driven tools.
This sampling approach ensures that participants are deliberately chosen based on their ability to contribute relevant insights. By focusing on key stakeholders and affected groups, the study can generate nuanced, actionable findings that address the unique socio-political and cultural context of Nigeria.

Research Design: The research follows a qualitative research design, which is particularly suitable for exploring complex issues such as the ethical and policy considerations of AI technology in a diverse

socio-cultural environment like Nigeria. The study consists of the following:

Literature Review: A comprehensive literature review was conducted to examine existing theoretical frameworks on AI ethics, misinformation, and media governance, with a particular focus on the Nigerian context. This phase analysed global trends and local realities to understand the challenges and opportunities AI presents in combating misinformation.
Qualitative Analysis: The research involves qualitative data collection through expert interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs) to gather in-depth insights from stakeholders involved in AI development, misinformation management, and digital media regulation. These were used to identify ethical concerns, policy gaps, and the socio-cultural implications of AI deployment.

Literature Review
The literature review is central to the research methodology, as it lays the foundation for understanding the theoretical, ethical, and practical contexts of AI in the fight against misinformation. The literature review focuses the exploration of academic articles, books, reports, and case studies that examine the role of AI in detecting and mitigating misinformation. Particular attention was given to AI techniques, such as natural language processing (NLP), machine learning, and deep learning, that are currently employed in misinformation detection systems. A key part of the literature focuses on existing literature related to the ethical challenges posed by AI systems, such as algorithmic biases, transparency, accountability, and privacy concerns. Studies from global and regional contexts will be considered, especially those addressing the nuances of AI deployment in developing countries like Nigeria.The review examines Nigeria's current regulatory landscape concerning digital media and AI. This includes policies such as the Nigerian Data Protection Regulation (NDPR) and the National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) guidelines. The review also explores gaps in the policy framework and recommends areas where legislation can be improved to address the ethical and operational challenges of AI in combating misinformation.

Qualitative Data Collection
The qualitative phase of the study consists of expert interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs), which provides, context-specific insights into the ethical, regulatory, and social challenges of using AI for misinformation control in Nigeria.

In-depth interviews were conducted with key stakeholders, including experts who work on developing AI-driven technologies, especially those focused on misinformation detection were interviewed to understand the technical and ethical challenges they face in creating AI systems for this purpose. These interviews focused on issues such as algorithmic transparency, biases in machine learning models, and the integration of ethical considerations in the design process.

The interviews conducted with policymakers and legal experts explored the current state of regulation in Nigeria and the gaps in policies related to AI, misinformation, and media governance. The perspectives of individuals from local fact-checking organizations, such as **Dubawa**, were gathered on how

misinformation is currently being addressed in Nigeria's digital media landscape and how AI tools can support their efforts. This effort provided practical perspectives on how AI technologies can be integrated into current misinformation management practices.

Search Strategy

The study conducted a systematic search across four leading academic databases: Scopus, Web of Science, MEDLINE, and Google Scholar. The search utilized a combination of controlled vocabularies and keywords, including "ethical implications policy," "frameworks for AI-Driven solutions," "misinformation," "digital media," "artificial intelligence," "ethics," "research writing," "challenges," "AI disclosure," "large language models," "AI transparency," "AI authorship," and "AI attribution." Boolean operators such as "AND" and "OR" were employed to refine and expand search queries. The search spanned from November 2022 to February 2024, a period marked by significant advancements and increased adoption of generative AI technologies following the release of ChatGPT in November 2022 (Borji & Mohammadian, 2023). This time frame was selected to capture the most recent developments, including emerging policies, guidelines, and academic discourse, to offer timely ethical recommendations for researchers.

Focus Group Discussions (FGDs)

Focus group discussions were held with Nigerian digital media users to gather insights into their experiences with misinformation, their understanding of AI-driven solutions, and their concerns about the ethical use of AI. The FGDs focused on participants discussing their experiences with misinformation on digital media platforms and its impact on their lives, especially in relation to political discourse, public health, and social cohesion.

The discussions also explored the participants' awareness of AI-driven misinformation detection tools and their trust in these technologies. Topics included the ethical implications of using AI for misinformation control, the transparency of AI systems, and concerns related to privacy and data security. In addition, the FGDs also probed the participants' views on the regulatory frameworks that should guide AI deployment in misinformation control. This included questions about the role of the Nigerian government, media platforms, and civil society in overseeing the ethical use of AI in combating misinformation.

Focus groups were segmented by demographic factors, such as age, region, and educational background, to ensure a broad representation. Each group consisted of 8-10 participants.

Data Analysis Methods

Thematic Analysis

The qualitative data collected from the expert interviews and focus group discussions were analysed using thematic analysis. This approach allowed for the identification of key themes, patterns, and issues within the data, including algorithmic bias, transparency, privacy, fairness, and accountability. The research further examined how these issues affected the development, deployment, and public acceptance of AI-driven misinformation detection tools.

The analysis thereafter analysed gaps in Nigeria's current regulatory environment and proposed actionable recommendations for strengthening policies around AI use in misinformation control while exploring the Nigeria's unique socio-cultural and political landscape and its influence on the effectiveness and acceptance of AI-driven misinformation solutions. The study analysed the perceptions of digital media users on AI's role in controlling misinformation, including concerns about trust, fairness, and the impact on civil liberties.

Limitations

While this study attempted to provide a comprehensive understanding of the ethical and policy implications of AI in combating misinformation, the research focused on South Western Nigerian digital media users and experts, which may limit the generalisability of the findings to the whole country. In addition, some experts and policymakers were difficult to reach due to time constraints or logistical issues.

Findings

Ethical Challenges in AI Deployment for Misinformation Control

AI systems designed to detect misinformation often inherit biases present in their training data. In Nigeria, this can manifest as disproportionate targeting of content from specific ethnic, linguistic, or cultural groups, exacerbating existing social tensions rather than mitigating them. The "black box" nature of AI decision-making processes poses significant challenges. Users and stakeholders are unable to fully understand how misinformation is identified and flagged, leading to skepticism and reduced trust in these systems. Effective AI-driven misinformation control requires access to vast amounts of user data. However, with Nigeria's evolving data protection laws, there is a significant risk of unauthorized surveillance, data misuse, or privacy violations. Current regulatory structures, including the Nigerian Data Protection Regulation (NDPR), are inadequate in addressing the broader ethical implications of AI systems. This gap leaves room for potential misuse, such as politically motivated censorship or suppression of dissent.

Socio-Cultural and Regional Complexities

Nigeria's multilingual society, encompassing over 250 languages, creates challenges for AI systems trained primarily on global datasets or limited local data. This can lead to inaccuracies in misinformation detection, particularly in indigenous languages or dialects. Misinformation is often tied to deeply held cultural beliefs, such as traditional medicine practices or socio-political allegiances. AI systems may struggle to effectively contextualize or address such misinformation without exacerbating tensions. Urban-rural disparities in digital literacy and internet access create an uneven playing field. While urban populations may benefit from AI-driven solutions, rural areas could remain vulnerable to misinformation due to limited technological infrastructure and awareness.

3. Regulatory and Policy Gaps

While the NDPR provides a foundation for data protection, it does not adequately address the ethical and operational dimensions of AI deployment for misinformation control. For instance, there are no provisions for auditing AI systems for

bias or ensuring transparency in their operations. Although international frameworks for AI ethics exist, such as those proposed by UNESCO and the EU, their direct application to Nigeria is hindered by contextual mismatches. Local realities, including socio-political dynamics and infrastructural challenges, require tailored solutions. Without robust safeguards, AI systems could be exploited by political entities to suppress opposition narratives or manipulate public opinion, undermining democratic processes.

Public Perception and Trust in AI

Focus group discussions reveal a general lack of trust in AI systems, particularly regarding their fairness, accuracy, and alignment with public interests. This distrust is compounded by limited awareness of how these technologies operate. Participants expressed a need for AI systems to provide clear, understandable explanations for their decisions. The absence of such transparency risks alienating users and reducing the effectiveness of misinformation control initiatives. Concerns about privacy and data security resonate strongly among digital media users, with many questioning the trade-off between combating misinformation and preserving individual freedoms.

Opportunities for Ethical AI Implementation

Stakeholder engagement, including input from technologists, ethicists, policymakers, and civil society, emerged as a critical factor for designing effective AI solutions. Multi-sectoral collaboration can ensure that systems are inclusive and reflective of societal values. Training AI systems on diverse and representative datasets that capture Nigeria's linguistic and cultural diversity is essential for improving accuracy and fairness. Enhancing digital literacy and raising awareness about AI tools among the general population can foster greater acceptance and trust in these technologies.

Discussion of Findings

The findings from the study highlight several critical insights into the ethical and policy frameworks necessary for deploying AI-driven solutions to combat misinformation in Nigeria. This discussion synthesizes these findings into actionable themes that bridge technical challenges, societal needs, and regulatory priorities.

Ethical Complexities in AI-Driven Solutions

The study underscores the ethical dilemmas inherent in the deployment of AI technologies. Algorithmic bias, a recurring theme, reveals how AI systems can inadvertently reinforce societal inequities. In Nigeria's multi-ethnic and multilingual context, such biases risk marginalizing underrepresented groups. For instance, AI tools trained on non-inclusive datasets may disproportionately flag content in indigenous languages, heightening tensions rather than mitigating misinformation.

The opaque nature of AI decision-making, or the "black box" problem, further complicates the landscape. Without transparency, stakeholders—ranging from policymakers to digital media users—are left questioning the reliability of AI-generated outputs. This skepticism is compounded by privacy concerns, as the expansive data requirements

for effective AI operation raise fears of misuse or overreach, particularly in a regulatory environment still grappling with foundational data protection challenges.

Socio-Cultural and Regional Challenges

Misinformation in Nigeria is not merely a digital phenomenon; it is deeply entwined with the country's socio-cultural and political fabric. The findings reveal the dual challenge of linguistic diversity and cultural sensitivities. AI systems must navigate complex dynamics, such as understanding and contextualizing misinformation in indigenous languages and dialects, which are often weaponized during political or health crises.

Furthermore, the digital divide exacerbates misinformation's impact, disproportionately affecting rural communities with limited access to digital literacy resources and technological infrastructure. While urban areas might benefit from advanced AI tools, the absence of equitable access risks widening existing societal divides.

Policy and Regulatory Gaps

The regulatory framework governing AI and digital technologies in Nigeria remains nascent. Although the Nigerian Data Protection Regulation (NDPR) lays a foundational framework for data privacy, it fails to address the broader ethical and operational challenges of AI deployment. This includes the absence of mechanisms to audit AI systems for bias or ensure accountability.

The findings also highlight the risk of political misuse of AI tools. Without stringent safeguards, there is potential for these technologies to be weaponized for censorship or narrative control, undermining democratic processes. This reinforces the need for localized, context-aware policy frameworks that prioritize both innovation and ethical accountability.

Public Perception and Trust

Public trust emerges as a critical determinant of AI adoption and efficacy. The findings indicate a prevalent skepticism toward AI technologies, particularly regarding their fairness and accuracy. Many participants expressed concerns about the perceived trade-offs between privacy and the need to combat misinformation. Transparency in AI operations, therefore, is not just an ethical imperative but a practical necessity for fostering trust and engagement.

Moreover, the discussions reveal a knowledge gap about AI's role and capabilities. This gap highlights the importance of public education and capacity-building initiatives to demystify AI and its applications.

Opportunities for Ethical AI Implementation

Despite the challenges, the findings identify significant opportunities for ethical AI deployment, multi-sectoral engagement involving technologists, policymakers, civil society, and affected communities can drive the development of inclusive AI systems. Training AI on diverse, representative datasets that capture Nigeria’s cultural and linguistic diversity can enhance its effectiveness and fairness. Enhancing digital literacy and raising awareness about AI technologies can bridge trust gaps and empower communities to engage with misinformation proactively.

The discussion highlights the intricate interplay of ethics, technology, and policy in deploying AI-driven misinformation solutions in Nigeria. Addressing algorithmic biases, ensuring transparency, and fostering public trust are pivotal to the success of such initiatives. Simultaneously, regulatory foresight and inclusive stakeholder engagement must underpin efforts to create a responsible and resilient information ecosystem.

This nuanced approach offers a pathway for Nigeria to leverage AI's potential while safeguarding its socio-cultural integrity and democratic principles.

Solutions

To address these challenges and maximize the benefits of AI in combating misinformation, the following recommendations are proposed:

There is need to develop and train AI systems using datasets that reflect Nigeria's cultural and linguistic diversity to minimize algorithmic biases. Implement explainable AI mechanisms that allow stakeholders to understand and verify AI decision-making processes and establish data governance frameworks that protect individual privacy while enabling effective misinformation control.

Also, there is need to expand Nigeria's regulatory frameworks, such as the NDPR, to include guidelines for ethical AI use, bias audits, and accountability measures. Introduce policies that prevent the political exploitation of AI tools, ensuring their application remains neutral and public interest-driven. Draw insights from international AI ethical standards and adapt them to Nigeria's socio-political and cultural realities.

In addition, the Nigerian government should **d**evelop AI systems capable of understanding and processing indigenous languages, dialects, and cultural nuances while bridging the digital divide by enhancing access to technology and digital literacy programs in rural and underserved areas. Launch public initiatives to educate citizens on the role of AI in combating misinformation and the safeguards in place to ensure ethical use. Train local developers, media professionals, and fact-checkers in the application and oversight of AI technologies. Foster collaborations among policymakers, technologists, academics, civil society, and local communities to co-design and implement AI solutions. Integrate AI with existing fact-checking platforms to enhance their scalability and impact. Regularly evaluate the effectiveness, fairness, and public acceptance of AI-driven misinformation solutions through stakeholder feedback and independent audits. Modify policies and AI systems based on emerging challenges, technological advancements, and societal needs.

By embracing these recommendations, Nigeria can harness the power of AI to combat misinformation effectively while fostering a resilient, inclusive, and trustworthy digital information ecosystem. This approach ensures that technological innovation aligns with ethical principles and societal values, contributing to a healthier democratic discourse and informed citizenry.

Conclusion

The pervasive spread of misinformation in Nigeria underscores the urgent need for

effective, ethical, and context-specific solutions. Artificial intelligence (AI) offers transformative potential to combat this challenge by automating misinformation detection and management. However, deploying AI technologies in a socio-culturally diverse and politically sensitive landscape like Nigeria raises critical ethical, operational, and regulatory questions.

REFERENCES

Allcott, H., & Gentzkow, M. (2017). Social Media and Fake News in the 2016 Election. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.31.2.211

Binns, R. (2018). Algorithmic Accountability and Public Reason. *Philosophy and Technology*, *31*(4). https://doi.org/10.1007/s13347-017-0263-5

Bontridder, N., & Poullet, Y. (2021). The role of artificial intelligence in disinformation. *Data and Policy*, *3*(3). https://doi.org/10.1017/dap.2021.20

Borji, A., & Mohammadian, M. (2023). Battle of the Wordsmiths: Comparing ChatGPT, GPT-4, Claude, and Bard. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4476855

Carr, C. T., & Hayes, R. A. (2015). Social Media: Defining, Developing, and Divining. *Atlantic Journal of Communication*, *23*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/15456870.2015.972282

Hassan, I. (2023). Dissemination of disinformation on political and electoral processes in Nigeria: An exploratory study. *Cogent Arts and Humanities*, *10*(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2023.2216983

Huddy, L. (2001). From social to political identity: A critical examination of social identity theory. *Political Psychology*, *22*(1). https://doi.org/10.1111/0162-895X.00230

Inobemhe, K., Saint Udeh, N.-T., Santas, T., Aruna, M., & Umoru, S. (2021). A Discourse On Nigeria's Public Health Concerns And Social Media Health Communication Campaigns In The Fight Against Covid-19 In Nigeria. *CRUTECH Journal of Communication*, *3*(1).

Makalesi, A., & Demirden, A. (2021). The Critical Examination of Social Identity Theory. In *Politik Psikoloji Dergisi* (Vol. 1, Issue 2).

Nevzat, R. (2018). Reviving Cultivation Theory for Social Media. *Proceedings The Asian Conference on Media*, *1*(1).

Nwani, E. C., Ugwu, N. F., Ochiaka, R. C., Adams, A. B., Ezeani, U. D., Onyekwere, O. K., & Agbo, C. C. (2022). Digital Literacy of School Health Influencers in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Trends Educational Studies Journal*, *14*(1), 72-89.

Omoera, O. S., & Uwalaka, T. (2023). Fake News as Disruptive Media: A Digital Age Challenge in Nigeria. *Studies in Media and Communication*, *11*(6). https://doi.org/10.11114/smc.v11i6.6240

Onayinka, T. S., Opele, J. K., James, A. J., & Rufai, M. O. (2022). Social Media-Inspired Information: The Nigerian COVID-19 Experience. *Journal of Communication and Language Arts (JCLA)*, *13*(1), 47–73.

Onayinka, T. S., Ugwu, N. F., Onyekwere, O. K., Opele, J. K., Nweze, G. N., Okorie, N. C., ... & Ignatius, C. (2024). Leveraging internet of things in 21st century education in Nigeria: gains, challenges, and future direction. *Gusau Journal of Sociology*, *4*(2), 91-102.

Opele, J. K., Onayinka, T. S., Fagbami, I. J., & Ugwu, N. F. (2024). Awareness and use of infographic tools among librarians in Nigeria. *New Review of Academic Librarianship*, *30*(1), 52-71.

Perry, E., Mandy, W., Hull, L., & Cage, E. (2022). Understanding Camouflaging as a Response to Autism-Related Stigma: A Social Identity Theory Approach. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*, *52*(2). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-04987-w

Porter, E., & Wood, T. J. (2021). The global effectiveness of fact-checking: Evidence from simultaneous experiments in Argentina, Nigeria, South Africa, and the United Kingdom. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *118*(37). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2104235118

Potter, W. J. (2022). What Does the Idea of Media Cultivation Mean? *Journal of Broadcasting and Electronic Media*, *66*(4). https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2022.2131788

Salami, E., & Nwankwo, I. (2024). Regulating the privacy aspects of artificial intelligence systems in Nigeria: A primer. *African Journal on Privacy and Data Protection*, *1*(1). https://doi.org/10.29053/ajpdp.v1i1.0011

Ugwu, N. F., Onyekwere, O. K., Ezeani, U. D., Ibeneme, C. B., Nwogu, O. F., Adekoya, A. F., & Onuh, K. E. (2022). Leveling gender inequalities in Global South Nations: A crucial course for achieving sustainable development goals. In *A Conference Proceeding of Research and Innovation, Social and Management Science, Afe Babalola University, Ado-Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria* (p. 22).

Ugwu, N. F., Familoni, J. K., Anibueze, A. U., Onyekwere, O. K., Ajewole, P. I., Nwogu, O. F., & Ibeneme, C. B. (2021). Assessment of climate change Knowledge and attitude among secondary school teachers in Enugu State, Nigeria. *Trends Educational Studies Journal*, *13*(2), 123-134.

Ugwu, N. F., Igbinlade, A. S., Ochiaka, R. E., Ezeani, U. D., Okorie, N. C., Opele, J. K., ... & Ojobola, F. B. (2024). Clarifying Ethical Dilemmas of Using Artificial Intelligence in Research Writing: A Rapid Review. *Higher Learning Research Communications*, *14*(2), 4.

Wardle, C., & Derakhshan, H. (2017). Information Disorder: Toward an interdisciplinary framework for research and policy making. *Report to the Council of Europe*.